United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,689	09/20/2004	Kazuhito Niwano	257572US2PCT	5672
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) NIWANO, KAZUHITO 10/506,689 Notice of Abandonment Art Unit Examiner 2617 Christopher M. Brandt -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 03 May 2007. __), which is after the expiration of the (a) A reply was received on ____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ___ period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on ____ (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-(c) A reply was received on final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$____ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on ____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: See Continuation Sheet WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Art Unit 2617 12/03/2007 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Application No. 10/506,689

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The examiner tried to contact Marvin Spivak or Joseph Scafetta ((703) 413-3000) in order to determine the status of Application # 10/506,689 (Attorney Docket # 257572US2PCT) on November 28, 2007. Nancy Connell informed the examiner that she would look into who was the attorney of record and would inform the examiner of the status. On December 3, 2007, Nancy Connell ((703) 413-56567) returned the examiner's phone call and informed that the clients abandoned the application.